

# The Annual Audit Letter for Tamworth Borough Council

---

Page  
Year ended 31 March 2019  
of  
August 2019



Agenda Item 4

# Contents



Your key Grant Thornton  
team members are:

Page 10

Phil Jones

Key Audit Partner

T: 0121 232 5232

E: phil.w.jones@uk.gt.com

Laurelin Griffiths

Manager

T: 0121 232 5363

E: laurelin.h.griffiths@uk.gt.com

Aaron Smallwood

In-Charge Auditor

T: 0121 232 5336

E: aaron.k.smallwod@uk.gt.com

## Section

1. Executive Summary
2. Audit of the Financial Statements
3. Value for Money conclusion

## Page

- 3  
5  
9

## Appendices

- A Reports issued and fees

---

# Executive Summary

## Purpose

Our Annual Audit Letter (Letter) summarises the key findings arising from the work that we have carried out at Tamworth Borough Council ( the Council) for the year ended 31 March 2019.

This Letter is intended to provide a commentary on the results of our work to the Council and external stakeholders, and to highlight issues that we wish to draw to the attention of the public. In preparing this Letter, we have followed the National Audit Office (NAO)'s Code of Audit Practice and Auditor Guidance Note (AGN) 07 – 'Auditor Reporting'. We reported the detailed findings from our audit work to the Council's Audit and Governance Committee as those charged with governance in our Audit Findings Report on 25 July 2019.

## Outwork

### Materiality

We determined materiality for the audit of the Council's financial statements to be £1,100,000, which is 2% of the Council's gross revenue expenditure.

### Financial Statements opinion

We gave an unqualified opinion on the Council's financial statements on 25 July 2019.

### Whole of Government Accounts (WGA)

We completed work on the Council's consolidation return following guidance issued by the NAO.

### Use of statutory powers

We did not identify any matters which required us to exercise our additional statutory powers.

---

## Respective responsibilities

We have carried out our audit in accordance with the NAO's Code of Audit Practice, which reflects the requirements of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 (the Act). Our key responsibilities are to:

- give an opinion on the Council's financial statements (section two)
- assess the Council's arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources (the value for money conclusion) (section three).

In our audit of the Council's financial statements, we comply with International Standards on Auditing (UK) (ISAs) and other guidance issued by the NAO.

---

# Executive Summary

---

**Value for Money arrangements** We were satisfied that the Council put in place proper arrangements to ensure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources. We reflected this in our audit report to the Council on 25 July 2019.

---

**Certification of Grants** We also carry out work to certify the Council's Housing Benefit subsidy claim on behalf of the Department for Work and Pensions. Our work on this claim is not yet complete and will be finalised by November 2019. We will report the results of this work to the Audit and Governance Committee separately.

---

**Certificate** We certified that we have completed the audit of the financial statements of Tamworth Borough in accordance with the requirements of the Code of Audit Practice on 25 July 2019.

---

We would like to record our appreciation for the assistance and co-operation provided to us during our audit by the Council's staff.

**Grant Thornton UK LLP**  
August 2019

---

# Audit of the Financial Statements

## Our audit approach

### Materiality

In our audit of the Council's financial statements, we use the concept of materiality to determine the nature, timing and extent of our work, and in evaluating the results of our work. We define materiality as the size of the misstatement in the financial statements that would lead a reasonably knowledgeable person to change or influence their economic decisions.

We determined materiality for the audit of the Council's financial statements to be £1,100,000, which is 2% of the Council's gross revenue expenditure. We used this benchmark as, in our view, users of the Council's financial statements are most interested in where the Council has spent its revenue in the year.

We also set a lower level of specific materiality for senior officer remuneration and exit packages of £100,000.

We set a lower threshold of £55,000, above which we reported errors to the Audit and Governance Committee in our Audit Findings Report.

### The scope of our audit

Our audit involves obtaining sufficient evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements to give reasonable assurance that they are free from material misstatement, whether caused by fraud or error. This includes assessing whether:

- the accounting policies are appropriate, have been consistently applied and adequately disclosed;
- the significant accounting estimates made by management are reasonable; and
- the overall presentation of the financial statements gives a true and fair view.

We also read the remainder of the financial statements and the narrative report, annual governance statement and Annual Report published alongside the financial statements to check it is consistent with our understanding of the Council and with the financial statements included in the Annual Report on which we gave our opinion.

We carry out our audit in accordance with ISAs (UK) and the NAO Code of Audit Practice. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion.

Our audit approach was based on a thorough understanding of the Council's business and is risk based.

We identified key risks and set out overleaf the work we performed in response to these risks and the results of this work.

# Audit of the Financial Statements

## Significant Audit Risks

These are the significant risks which had the greatest impact on our overall strategy and where we focused more of our work.

Risks identified in our audit plan	How we responded to the risk	Findings and conclusions
<p><b>Management override of controls</b></p> <p>Under ISA (UK) 240 there is a non-rebuttable presumed risk that the risk of management override of controls is present in all entities.</p> <p>We therefore identified management override of controls, in particular journals, management estimates and transactions outside the course of business as a significant risk, which was one of the most significant assessed risks of material misstatement.</p>	<p>As part of our audit work we:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>evaluated the design effectiveness of management controls over journals;</li> <li>analysed the journals listing and determine the criteria for selecting high risk unusual journals;</li> <li>tested unusual journals recorded during the year and after the draft accounts stage for appropriateness and corroboration;</li> <li>gained an understanding of the accounting estimates and critical judgements applied made by management and consider their reasonableness with regard to corroborative evidence; and</li> <li>evaluated the rationale for any changes in accounting policies, estimates or significant unusual transactions.</li> </ul>	<p>Our audit work did not identify any issues in respect of management override of controls.</p>
<p><b>Valuation of land and buildings (both PPE and Investment Assets)</b></p> <p>The Authority revalue PPE land and buildings on a rolling five-yearly basis, and investment properties every year.</p> <p>This valuation represents a significant estimate by management in the financial statements due to the size of the numbers involved and the sensitivity of this estimate to changes in key assumptions.</p> <p>This represents a significant estimate by management in the financial statements.</p> <p>We identified valuation of land and buildings, particularly revaluations and impairments, as a significant risk.</p>	<p>As part of our audit work we;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>evaluated management's processes and assumptions for the calculation of the estimate, the instructions issued to valuation experts and the scope of their work;</li> <li>evaluated the competence, capabilities and objectivity of the valuation expert;</li> <li>communicated with the valuer to confirm the basis on which the valuation was carried out;</li> <li>challenged the information and assumptions used by the valuer to assess completeness and consistency with our understanding;</li> <li>tested revaluations made during the year to see if they had been input correctly into the Authority's asset register; and</li> <li>evaluated the assumptions made by management for those assets not revalued during the year and how management has satisfied themselves that these are not materially different to current value at year end.</li> </ul>	<p>Our audit work did not identify any issues in respect of valuations of the Council's property.</p>

# Audit of the Financial Statements

## Significant Audit Risks - continued

These are the risks which had the greatest impact on our overall strategy and where we focused more of our work.

Risks identified in our audit plan	How we responded to the risk	Findings and conclusions
<p><b>Valuation of pension fund net liability</b></p> <p>The Authority's pension fund net liability, as reflected in its balance sheet as the net defined benefit liability, represents a significant estimate in the financial statements.</p> <p>The pension fund net liability is considered a significant estimate due to the size of the numbers involved and the sensitivity of the estimate to changes in key assumptions.</p> <p>We therefore identified valuation of the Authority's pension fund net liability as a significant risk.</p>	<p>As part of our audit work we:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>updated our understanding of the processes and controls put in place by management to ensure that the Authority's pension fund net liability is not materially misstated and evaluate the design of the associated controls;</li> <li>evaluated the instructions issued by management to their management expert (an actuary) for this estimate and the scope of the actuary's work;</li> <li>assessed the competence, capabilities and objectivity of the actuary who carried out the Authority's pension fund valuation;</li> <li>assessed the accuracy and completeness of the information provided by the Authority to the actuary to estimate the liability;</li> <li>tested the consistency of the pension fund asset and liability and disclosures in the notes to the core financial statements with the actuarial report from the actuary; and</li> <li>Have undertaken procedures to confirm the reasonableness of the actuarial assumptions made - by reviewing the report of the consulting actuary (as auditor's expert) and performing any additional procedures suggested within the report.</li> </ul>	<p>Our work on the assumptions used by the actuary identified that the actual rate of return on the pension fund's assets for the year differed from the estimated rate provided by the Pension Fund to the actuary. The Council requested that their actuary reperform the actuarial valuation as a result of this, leading to a reduction in gross pension assets of £903k.</p> <p>The Council also requested that the actuary give consideration to the liability arising as a result of changes relating to guaranteed minimum pensions (GMP) and a legal ruling around age discrimination (McCloud), which due to the prevailing legal uncertainty at the time of preparing the draft financial statements, and the need to produce these by 31 May 2019, were not taken into account in the first actuarial valuation. This resulted in increases in gross pension liabilities of £179k and £369k respectively.</p>

---

# Audit of the Financial Statements

## **Audit opinion**

We gave an unqualified opinion on the Council's financial statements on 25 July 2019.

## **Preparation of the financial statements**

The Council presented us with draft financial statements in accordance with the national deadline, and provided a good set of working papers to support them. The finance team responded promptly and efficiently to our queries during the course of the audit.

## **Issues arising from the audit of the financial statements**

We reported the key issues from our audit to the Council's Audit Committee on 25 July 2019.

## **Annual Governance Statement and Narrative Report**

We were required to review the Council's Annual Governance Statement and Narrative Report. It published them on its website in the Statement of Accounts in line with the national deadlines.

Both documents were prepared in line with the CIPFA Code and relevant supporting guidance. We confirmed that both documents were consistent with the financial statements prepared by the Council and with our knowledge of the Council.

## **Whole of Government Accounts (WGA)**

We carried out work on the Council's Data Collection Tool in line with instructions provided by the NAO. We issued an assurance statement which confirmed the Council was below the audit threshold on 25 July 2019.

## **Other statutory powers**

We also have additional powers and duties under the Act, including powers to issue a public interest report, make written recommendations, apply to the Court for a declaration that an item of account is contrary to law, and to give electors the opportunity to raise questions about the Council's accounts and to raise objections received in relation to the accounts.

We did not identify any matters which required us to exercise our additional statutory powers.

## **Certificate of closure of the audit**

We certified that we have completed the audit of the financial statements of Tamworth Borough Council in accordance with the requirements of the Code of Audit Practice on 25 July 2019.



---

# Value for Money conclusion

## Background

We carried out our review in accordance with the NAO Code of Audit Practice, following the guidance issued by the NAO in November 2017 which specified the criterion for auditors to evaluate:

*In all significant respects, the audited body takes properly informed decisions and deploys resources to achieve planned and sustainable outcomes for taxpayers and local people.*

## Key findings

Our first step in carrying out our work was to perform a risk assessment and identify the risks where we concentrated our work.

The risks we identified and the work we performed are set out overleaf.

## Overall Value for Money conclusion

We are satisfied that in all significant respects the Council put in place proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources for the year ending 31 March 2019.

# Value for Money conclusion

## Value for Money Risks

Risks identified in our audit plan	How we responded to the risk	Findings and conclusions
<p><b>Financial sustainability</b></p> <p>The Council's draft medium term financial strategy shows a significant decline in the available General Fund reserves balance over the next three years.</p> <p>In addition to this, future funding arrangements for local authorities are not known, and the UK's exit from the European Union will potentially have a significant impact.</p>	<p>We have maintained a watching brief on the Council's progress in setting its budget for the 2019/20 year, and the updated medium term financial strategy, and consider the appropriateness of the process followed, including any actions taken to mitigate the Council's risk.</p>	<p>The Council's reporting on its forward planning and budget setting is considered to be transparent, clearly setting out key assumptions and risks.</p> <p>Nothing specific has been incorporated into the Council's planning in relation to the UK's exit from the EU, as the Council's view is that there will be limited impact in the long-term. We do not consider this to be unreasonable.</p> <p>The MTFS includes planned use of General Fund reserves, which would reduce the available balance to £0.5m by the end of 2021/22. The Council acknowledge that this is not sustainable in the long-term.</p> <p>Work is ongoing in a number of areas to address the financial position in future years, notably future options for the Council's commercial investment strategy.</p> <p><b>We are satisfied that there were no weaknesses in the Council's arrangements to secure Value for Money during the 2018/19 financial year.</b></p>

# A. Reports issued and fees

We confirm below our final reports issued and fees charged for the audit and provision of non-audit services.

## Reports issued

Report	Date issued
Audit Plan	March 2018
Audit Findings Report	July 2019
Annual Audit Letter	August 2019

## Fees

	Planned £	Actual £	2017/18 £
Statutory audit	38,375	42,875	49,838
Housing Benefit Grant Certification	12,000	TBC	11,074
<b>Total fees</b>	<b>50,275</b>	<b>TBC</b>	<b>60,912</b>

Details of proposed variations to our audit fee are set out over the page.

Our fees for the certification of the Council's Housing Benefit grant will be confirmed following the completion of our work.

## Fees for non-audit services

Service	Fees £
<b>Audit related services</b>	Nil
<b>Non-Audit related services</b>	
- Certification of Pooling of Housing Capital Receipts	2,500

## Non-audit services

- For the purposes of our audit we have made enquiries of all Grant Thornton UK LLP teams providing services to the Council. The table above summarises all non-audit services which were identified.
- We have considered whether non-audit services might be perceived as a threat to our independence as the Council's auditor and have ensured that appropriate safeguards are put in place.

The above non-audit services are consistent with the Council's policy on the allotment of non-audit work to your auditor.

# A. Reports issued and fees

## Audit fee variation

As outlined in our audit plan, the 2018-19 scale fee published by PSAA of £38,375 assumes that the scope of the audit does not significantly change. There are a number of areas where the scope of the audit has changed, which has led to additional work. These are set out in the following table.

Page 20

Area	Reason	Fee proposed
<b>Assessing the impact of the McCloud ruling</b>	The Government's transitional arrangements for pensions were ruled discriminatory by the Court of Appeal last December. The Supreme Court refused the Government's application for permission to appeal this ruling. As part of our audit we have reviewed the revised actuarial assessment of the impact on the financial statements along with any audit reporting requirements.	1,500
<b>Pensions – IAS 19</b>	The Financial Reporting Council has highlighted that the quality of work by audit firms in respect of IAS 19 needs to improve across local government audits. Accordingly, we have increased the level of scope and coverage in respect of IAS 19 this year to reflect this.	1,500
<b>PPE Valuation – work of experts</b>	As above, the Financial Reporting Council has highlighted that auditors need to improve the quality of work on PPE valuations across the sector. We have increased the volume and scope of our audit work to reflect this.	1,500
<b>Total proposed fee variation</b>		<b>4,500</b>

Fee variations are subject to PSAA approval.



© 2019 Grant Thornton UK LLP. All rights reserved.

'Grant Thornton' refers to the brand under which the Grant Thornton member firms provide assurance, tax and advisory services to their clients and/or refers to one or more member firms, as the context requires.

Grant Thornton UK LLP is a member firm of Grant Thornton International Ltd (GTIL). GTIL and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. GTIL and each member firm is a separate legal entity. Services are delivered by the member firms. GTIL does not provide services to clients. GTIL and its member firms are not agents of, and do not obligate, one another and are not liable for one another's acts or omissions.

This page is intentionally left blank